UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,289	06/24/2003	Heinrich Hofmann	P/22-230	3250
	7590 05/17/2007 FABER GERB & SOFF	EXAMINER		
1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 100368403			RODRIGUEZ, PAMELA	
NEW TORK, I	11 100300403		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3683	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/603,289	HOFMANN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Pam Rodriguez	3683	

	Pam Rodriguez	3683	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with	the correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 04 May 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		•	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	n the same day as filing a Notion wing replies: (1) an amendmen otice of Appeal (with appeal fee	ce of Appeal. To avoid abant, affidavit, or other evidere) in compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHE	•	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 Cf stension and the corresponding an shortened statutory period for repl r than three months after the maili	nount of the fee. The appropri y originally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	onsideration and/or search (secons);	e NOTE below);	
(c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materia	ally reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.		lly rejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	21. See attached Notice of No	on-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		arate, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		will be entered and an o	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: <u>5</u> . Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>2-4,6 and 7</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under ry and was not earlier presente	appeal and/or appellant fa ed. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims a	Itter entry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered be	ut does NOT place the applica	tion in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		1.
		Pam Rodriguez Primary Examiner	
		Art Unit: 3683 5/14/07	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's proposed amendment to Claim 7 now claims that the projection and fixed part of the wheel bearing be formed integrally. As indicated in the final office action, this term has an entirely separate meaning than applicant's previous claim terminology reciting that the two parts being integrated with one another. Thus, this new claim language would require further search and consideration by the examiner. Further, applicant should also note that in Figure 3 of the Vignotto reference, element 26 and flange 13 can be formed integrally with one another using notches..